Collaborative Feedback Strategies that Stick

Even with our more challenging patients

AACN 2025 Keynote Presentatior

Kira Armstrong, Ph.D., ABPP

Disclosures and Acknowledgements

Feedback that Sticks: The art of effectively communicating neuropsychological Assessment Results.

No other financial relationships to disclose

2

1

Acknowledgements



Karen Postal, Ph.D., ABPF

Neuropsychological Feedback ("NF")

4

NF Today

- As of 2022 41 publications (books, chapters, articles) relating to NF (Gruters et al., 2022)
- Survey data 98% of Neuropsychologists are now providing verbal feedback to at least 92% of their patients (Longley et al., 2023)

5

What is feedback?

Feedback is not *just* about diagnoses





So, What is Feedback?

A Therapeutic Intervention









The Clinical Impact of Feedback

- Improvement in perceived cognitive functioning, self-efficacy and mood in MS patients despite evidence of cognitive improvement (Longley et al., 2023)
- Reduced Psychiatric symptoms up to 6 months after NF (Hansson et al., 2016; Lanca et al., 2020)
- Improved quality of life (Longley et al, 2023; Rosado et al., 2018)
- Limit iatrogenesis in mTBI (Miller & Mittenberg 1998)



Difficult Feedbacks

Typically involve patients who:

- Exaggerate OR minimize/deny symptoms;
- Have limited insight and/or limited cognitive functioning;
- Are resistant to and/or *frustrated* by our findings;

13

Difficult Feedbacks (cont.)

Typically involve patients who:

- Feel misunderstood or not heard due to their culture or gender/sexual identity;
- · Are Children;

And

When we are sharing "bad" or unexpected findings

14

Guidelines/Models of Feedback

- General guidelines for the provision of feedback (Crosson 2000; Finn 2007; Gass & Brown 1992; Gorske & Smith 2009)
- Geriatric Patient Populations (Wynn & Carpenter 2022)
- Rehabilitation settings (Waldron-Perrine et al., 2021)
- Failed effort testing (in clinical populations) (Carone et al., 2010; Connery et al., 2016, Waldrone-Perrine et al., 2021)

Guidelines/Models of Feedback (cont.)

 Reframing expectations (when testing results are not consistent with patient perceptions) (Carone 2017)

16







Therapeutic Assessment and CTNA

Therapeutic Assessment – S.E. Finn 2007

 Collaborative Therapeutic Neuropsychological Assessment – T. Gorske and S. Smith (2008)

19

Working with our patients Not at them





| Feedback Begins with our <i>First</i> Patient Contact | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Rapport | Foster therapeutic allianceBuild Trust | | |
| Credibility | Set Expectations | | |
| Collaboration • Promote collaboration, openness and curiosity | | | |

| Fe Ir | Feedback Continues: the Diagnostic Interview and Assessment Process | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Gather Information | Goals, life stories, details to pull through in NF | | |
| | Gauge Insight | Assess Readiness to understand results | | |
| | | | | |

23

Finn's Level of Findings Guidelines

- Level 1 Findings ego syntonic, easily received
- Level 2 Findings Re-shape a patient's selfconcept without threatening their self-esteem
- Level 3 Findings ego dystonic findings that can create intense, defensive reactions

⁷inn 2007

Part 2: A New Model of Feedback to Facilitate Feedback that Sticks

The Diagnostic Feedback Interview (DFI)

And

Feedback through Interactive Neuropsychological Dialogue (FIND)



26

Feedback through Interactive Neuropsychological Dialogue (FIND) and the Diagnostic Feedback Interview (DFI)

Textbook of Clinical Neuropsychology – 3rd Edition

Joel Morgan, Joe Ricker, Ida Sue Baron, Editors







29

Providing Neuropsychological Feedback to Children

It's immoral to put a person through this kind of examination and not let them know how they did. I call it a hit and run assessment. ~ Muriel Lezak

Feedback through Interactive Neuropsychological Dialogue (FIND)

Goal: To provide child/patient centered feedback at their developmental and cognitive level that:

- Validates the child's strengths
- Explains their challenges and diagnoses using age appropriate/family agreed upon terminology
- Gives them strategies and hope to support empowerment

31

Features of FIND

- Feedback is given to the child at the end of testing with parents present (or soon after)
- Longer parent interviews (using the DFI) in between testing dates that foreshadow and/or confirm diagnostic impressions
 - Which lets us agree on the language to use when sharing findings

32

Timing of Feedback - Pros

- This kid is the ONLY kid in my head
- Testing is fresh for the kid specific examples from testing can be used (emphasizing collaborative assessment techniques)
- Kids will often acknowledge symptoms they have denied or minimized
- The process strengthens the report AND helps parents to accept conclusions





Timing of Feedback – Pros (cont.)

- Kids will often acknowledge symptoms they have denied or minimized
- The process strengthens the report AND helps parents to accept conclusions

35

Timing of Feedback - Cons

- · Trainees haven't had supervision yet
- · Not all test data is scored
- The child will be tired and dysregulated
 Tell parents ahead of time not to worry about
 their presentation

Feedback through Interactive Neuropsychological Discussions (FIND)

37



38

Taking notes "as we go"

- Ensures that information is presented in an easy to follow manner
- Orients the child, parents, and neuropsychologist to the discussion
- Notes are photocopied or scanned and emailed so parents and the child can reference them later

Sharing Good News

I have PROOF that I'm Smart*

*Modify this as appropriate for your patient population

40

Personalize the Findings

Use actual test findings (NOT scores) or behavioral observations

- Summarize higher test scores
- Qualitative observations
- Parent and/or teacher report

· Add the word "Sometimes" when appropriate



BUT

I'm willing to bet you don't always <u>FEEL</u> as smart as I'm saying you are

43





Filling in the Circles

- Flip between circles/strengths/ recommendations
- Move from least threatening examples/dx to potentially ego dystonic items
- Invite the child to agree/ disagree supports the face validity of the findings
- Use specific examples from testing or self/parent report

46

Filling in the Circles (continued)

- Encourage parents to join in the discussion as appropriate (and depending on the child)
- Use humor when appropriate
- Use self disclosure if/when appropriate

47

The Power of the Venn Diagram in FIND

- It allows for a shared understanding of the child's brain
- Talk about the child's BRAIN not them
- Reduces defenses
- Allows the exploration of minimized/denied symptoms collaboratively

FINISH CLEANING THIS UP

Your prain not You

- My brain is too quick to think people are judging me instead of **I'm too sensitive**
- My brain gets distracted too easily instead of I never pay attention
- My brain finds it hard to understand how other people are feeling instead of I <u>can't</u> understand other people

49

The Power of the Venn Diagram in FIND (cont.)

- Children frequently share/acknowledge symptoms
 they previously denied
- Strengthens diagnostic conclusions and provides data to document diagnoses

50

The Power of the Venn Diagram in FIND (cont.)

- The SHARED DESCRIPTION facilitates acceptance of diagnosis
- The overlapping circles provide an educational model to explain nonspecific symptoms, comorbidities and how to direct intervention



Tricks to facilitate this process

- Track behavioral observations and test performances that will explain diagnostic symptoms or that will help children to understand the way their brain works
- Highlight examples the child or parents share during interviews so you can find them easily

53

Generalizing/Adapting Model

- See additional slide included in packet for an example of a feedback for a child with epilepsy as well as actual examples of feedbacks completed with children in my practice
- □ Modify language for younger/more cognitively impaired patients/different cultures, etc.







56

Common Diagnostic Challenges

- How can we help patients/family accept diagnostic conclusions if our findings run counter to their expectations (or if they are totally unexpected)?
- What can we do to avoid feeling like we don't have enough data to effectively or confidently confirm or rule out a diagnosis (e.g., of ASD, ADHD, anxiety, etc.)?

Common Diagnostic Challenges (cont.)

Out of necessity, diagnostic evaluations place a heavier emphasis on collateral/self report but:

- Children, parents, teachers, allied health professionals are not "experts"
- Consequently, their input is is not an <u>objective</u> source of data
- This also impacts questionnaires

58

So, our diagnostic interview model is limited when we:

- · Interview parents before meeting the child
- Interview parents before we have actual data
- Assume parents are *experts* before we educate them









Traditional Neuropsychological Testing Schedule



Testing schedule when using DFI

Complete some testing **BEFORE** the interview

Schedule longer parent interviews (that lead to shorter feedback sessions)

 Having actual data about the patient helps to better guide interviews that address directly relevant information

64





65

Applying DFI and FIND in Your Practices

- Scheduling/Billing Restrictions
- Timing of Education (the collaborative portion of the DFI)







Features of Diagnostic Feedback Interviews

• Educate parents/patients in a way that supports their ability to provide more accurate information

 Forecasting conclusions during the interview/ diagnostic feedback – to solicit both "buy in" and additional relevant examples

70

The most important part of feedback is <u>not</u> *talking*...

74

Introducing the DFI

1) Explain that neuropsychology is a collaborative process, we each have our own areas of expertise

2) Explicitly encourage parents/patients to disagree with or challenge my summaries when relevant

3) Explain the 2 parts of the DFI

3) Collect relevant history (as you would normally) while also:

Highlighting notes to return to during the interactive feedback that warrant education (to correct misperceptions)

The *feedback* portion of the DFI

- · Take notes with parent input start with the circles
- Begin with parent examples of symptoms while also educating and correcting misperceptions
- Translate clinical terms/avoid using jargon
- Encourage the parents to elaborate or correct as you go

76

77

Why this works

By encouraging parent participation and opportunities to disagree with us, in the end:

- There is nothing on this page that they don't agree with
- We aren't asking them to change WHAT they see in their child, we're helping them to better understand HOW they see their child and the sources of their difficulties

Labeling the "Circles"/Making the Diagnosis

- · Start with any known diagnoses
- Label the least surprising/least defended diagnoses – while educating the parents about how the symptoms (the ones THEY gave you) make sense
- Shift to new/unexpected diagnoses while continuing to educate, solicit new information, and ensuring the parents feel heard

79

80

Part 3 – Examples of how to use the DFI in Practice

Start identifying the 3rd circle

- Difficulties understanding sarcasm □ Intensive/restrictive
- interests □ Difficulties with reciprocal conversations
- \Box Difficulties understanding other people's feelings
- \square Black and white
- thinking Difficulties with abstract
- reasoning
- more LEARNED than INTUITIVE

□ Their social skills are

Along with some overlapping symptoms

- □ Decreased Tolerance for Frustration
- □ Sensory Sensitivities (with overlap into

85

Have you ever wondered about....

 Social Difficulties

 Difficulties with:

 Reading social cues

 Perspective taking

 RRB's

Overpersonalizing
Hypervigilant
OcD features

 Symptoms ≠ Diagnoses

89

We're not just looking for the symptoms...

We're looking for the WHY

| Draw and characterize the first 2 circles (ADHD and Anxiety) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| ADHD ADHD Impulsive (physically and/or cognitively) Difficulties with sustained mental effort ("small gas tank" Hyperactive Difficulties remembering/following directions Procrastinates Forgets to turn in homework | Anxiety Easily flooded by feelings Lack of confidence in friendships (second guessing reciprocity) Sensitive to <i>perceived</i> criticism Needs quiet time alone to "recharge" | | |

Anxiety

95

When they need more time

Give patients/parents the opportunity to *think* about and *participate* in the diagnostic conclusions

• You THINK you're thinking right now, but really you're reacting

· Keep track of their questions - until we meet again

Questions and Examples

100

=> I have proof that I'm SMART To note a provide the subscript reasons as the internet in subscript reasons and the internet in subscript reasons and the internet internet reasons are internet internet. The subscript internet internet internet ring of the a good reasons and the areasons areasonsons areaso Disorder (and a Anxiety Anxiety temper) Anxiety tatas)itis auth sustained mental referent -> processionets -> Komper Small gas tout axe - Lattentic - Lattentic - antraus and stop listening

ADHD, Anxiety and a Sleep quick/intense

103

I have proof that I'm smart I amount was the treat of reasoning. I amount was the treat of the solution o AND y brain has difficulty monthly focusing things - social things - Social ... School is to be proved X mybrain gats my brain has Q ALC PROVE -1 Balan ed mental and spin the pani Fort aprain 142 napping how calories > This to Dr. Tournet - I need strategies to event meats for monitor and whenege my ADHO Anxiety

a lot seems affected archall his bland Cempathetic AND ADD 2 DAD dift's conth attra (* ASO ? - Transformation) attra (* ASO ? - Transformation) Transformation (* ASO ? - Transformation) the design of the service of the termine of termi intuitive us the store

-> I have proof that I'm smart - Thomas a feelly strong under - Thomas a feelly strong under Thomas and the strong and the Thomas and the strong and - Thomas the strong and - Thomas a good memory (someting - Thomas a good memory (someting - Thomas a strong and - Thomas a str Provide and the second second

| _ | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

we proof that I'm "Smart and wild takes ADHO たら itterent my brach can be Inderstand differently (Sogal skills groups)

2-Part Example of an Adult FIND from an initial and follow-up evaluation

(From Lynette Abrams-Silva, Ph.D. ABPP)

put of he is denerous any osh Anxiety ADHD (Right agentities plant Right agentities plant Right agentities plant Right agentities Right agenti TAU 50 Thinker - entricity egosentrism Possible ASD

116

decreated motheration - court of one - it in management skill distanted Social performance disorganized) procrastingtee Spart ADHD Anxiet Spinning 120 hapter in Jana derrased oterany dif. ettort ettort -demoligan tank and loc meds TEP exectionity med

AXO Difficulties with reading Social cues - social peteronain - understands sarcats m - demonstrates social curresty Street (aw She describ attornal supplies noting to social solial curs branch official of social rejection than not being treasted well

have proof that I'm SMART T - I an really good at abstract reasoning, and problem solving - I have a good memory - I have great vocablery (sometimes) - I'm a starp reader - I'm a fast thinker (sometimes) - I can be a good writer ADHD, Anxiety and a Sleep Disorder (and a AND word finding quick/intense difficulty with the things temper) distationit ADHO Anxiet my brain can more mistates Dif's with sustained mental effort -> procrastinate Temper small gas tank AX 0 1 Understanding + attention - overthinking -antrous and stop listening

| 11 | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| | 1 A.C. | |
| | | |
| | Thomas and that is wary | |
| | I nave proof that I'm smart | |
| | Towned () and a l | |
| | - an noi anously good at reasoning | |
| | Lim really good at problem solution | |
| | I'm super good at visual tasks and | |
| | building things | |
| | I'm a really fast thinker | |
| | I have a great momory (sometime) | |
| | I'm a good artist | |
| - | I have a well developed incohilan | |
| ÷+- | I'm stone reader | |
| | 0 | |
| | AND | |
| my brain h | has difficulty | |
| focusine | an boring my brain com my having and chan | |
| things | greenopy my bran works | |
| Multipation of | anout " | |
| dist all the | - schani | |
| instances | - sometimes to | |
| myboun | 40+60 AD+60 Anxiety Derparted | |
| before that | Kan | |
| | - W / Xmu brain can be | |
| Miles 11 | hyperspilent about | |
| my brain | has approved the marker and | |
| difficultu | y with the mutaning the insecure | |
| SUSTAINED | meneral / ye gorain can panic | |
| ENGOLA | 1 x Juna spin | |
| 2 | dutine (5) | |
| my brown h | napping | |
| Strongs | - Low calories | |
| Tank | - totally stranged | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| SFILLE | 2 Dr. Taylot = I need static of to | |
| abourn | neds for montar and interned in | |
| ADUO | anxiet. | |
| THURS | chinecty | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | The age wat De avant | |
|----------|---|--|
| | 2 have proof that I'm Smith | |
| | - Thave really strong poblem - | |
| | solving skills | |
| | - I am of fast thinker | |
| | -I have a good vocabulary | |
| | - I have a strong memory | |
| | - I'm a greas ready (series) | |
| | my bonia is slower | |
| | BUT (to warm up to new | |
| mabre | things things | |
| gets | distracted expose social and ety | |
| ews, | P Ly I need to | |
| mybe | Tefin my socia | |
| reeds | ADHO ANXIELY gas take | |
| 1203 | Mighting the body the mighting | |
| It can't | performance me | |
| 10000 | anxiety | |
| resides | mybrain | |
| | Silling is motivation | |
| | mistakes | |
| | "blips" mybraindan get flooded by | |
| | my feelings | |
| my | my brain can give | |
| ant | faulties with up really easily | |
| SU | stained mental - my brain can | |
| 475 | of (my brain second quess too | |
| ha | a small gas easily | |
| | | |
| | WTherapy | |
| | ticky notes 2 (2) Execut Je functioning | |
| | of affirmation 3) accommotions | |
| | (medication? | |
| | | |
| | | |

| 1 lot seems affected 1 matrix and affected 1 matrix affected 1 ma | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| marker with the intervent of the interve | to b | a lot seems matter or or affected y matter atom | |
| impulsion impulsion impulsion intermediate intermediat | CALL VEDA | enpathetic entrop-but s to 2002 | |
| <pre></pre> | jnev ⊃oso-sec maria joraci | have a sensitivity to | |
| me not with me - salking over 's coast de it me of the to general me of the to general - salking were from a very - salking very young age interest, as school - a gets upset if someone else does mult generalize trouble | to the to the top | te state of a state of the second of the sec | |
| interval, a school - a gets upset if someone else does intraitive us the with generalizing traduent | | not with me in a coast do it me is in the internation of the set me is the set of the set me is the new (from a very listen very young age) | |
| intuitive us the intervent with generalizing travent tracking | | - a gets upset if someone else does | |
| | | intuithe us the intervent | |

| | crozy | |
|---|---|--|
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | I have proof that I'm smart | |
| | - I have a really strong valabular. | |
| | - I have exceptional reasoning skilk | |
| | - I'mgood at understanding | |
| | - T'm a ridiculously good read of | |
| | - I have a good memory (sometimes | |
| | - I'm a very fast thinkest (usually) | |
| | pertormen sometimes | |
| | | |
| mybod | And marke BUT | |
| h tes | day deep on I can get | |
| | 2 lot "Hy hav prustrated than a bard time | |
| I car | Porget Knowing how I | |
| instrum | +ions ADD" (MSD) I the hard | |
| ality with | he nempry (Autism Socially | |
| and page | Spectrum I can have | |
| Carrie | imputible unexpected changes | |
| | T. havedificauties formenser always | |
| | with sustained mentally eye rontact | |
| | 12miliai has a | |
| | small gas tank | |
| | good and loyal | |
| | friend but sometimes | |
| $(\rightarrow c e$ | Iculator) open and might not | |
| | always "read" | |
| | FOR WHEATY | |
| | | |
| | | |

| = | I have (proof) that I'm SMART | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| | -I am really good at understanding | |
| | - Those large uposting and | |
| | -I'm a fast thinker) | |
| | - I have a good normary (sometimes) | |
| | BUT | |
| -#50.1.1 | my barry (my anctioning) | |
| 9et o too e | istration to serve the server and th | |
| | ain mine people's | |
| - Mote Sully | whates ADHO Dissince It can be hard | |
| Iha | 2 difficultures | |
| IVENTO | Priver worry how other people | |
| . ab 1.1 | things I deter sala thing | |
| -m Sm | ugastank working | |
| toute - | explore medicine (1+15 bard + a | |
| Culture - | ind a therapist tak a new tand | |
| | are with socialskills | |
| | | |

584 Examples of completed DFI's

(From Lynette Abrams-Silva, Ph.D. ABPP)

port of he is generous header Anxiety ADHD Ĵ Disorda Legnoblic play never had a 9 mint" of ERIGIDITY - ENSTER - white - black - white - black - white - black - white - black - white - interpersonally - blitth Jangungs Minerch Like oust thinker egocentricity - aven) anguage doesn't lite abstact doesn't lite information doesn't lite information Respondent = if it's not about him of for him against him -egocentrism Possible ASD

| AANE.ORG Stimulart short oct int b side the cet |
|--|
| arts arth stationed wears off (mention = stort) software) (tics) Arternalis |
| cog intustion timely |
| Procession in the second secon |
| ASD ?/ provide From the ASD ?/ provide ASD ?/ provide |
| dicts with |
| rectioned conversions fooding comp insurance |
| espedie espedie espedie |
| |
| Explaining ASD to a parent |

to w to teran a hyperfocusing or preterized contros about P inguistice/ fears dif's with t of rejection Social anxiety not being ADAD? memor includos Pertornang anxiety hyperactive erquisite ty to difie 2 \$ sensitivity F. perceived criticism DH 5 with Sustained mental -effort emotional Ŧ react. v.t. is es brain has a small gas tank medication?

Difficxulties with reading <2XO mer Social peterphiny understands sarcets m demonstrates social curiosty -the with She doesn't attemed Social to social 2000 cue mare Social vejestion the not being treated wey

Collaborative Feedback Strategies that Stick – Even with our More Challenging Patients AACN 2025 Keynote Presentation

References

Armstrong, K, Lanca, M, Sheer, J. B., and Kovacs, K. (2024). Neuropsychological Feedback as a therapeutic intervention: The effective communication of assessment results to maximize patient outcome. Neurology Clinics. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2024.05.003</u>

Angoff L. How to explain neurodiversity to kids. Explaining Brains. Published February 9, 2021. Accessed January 03, 2024. <u>https://explainingbrains.com/blog</u>

Carone DA, Iverson GL, Bush SS. A model to approaching and providing feedback to patients regarding invalid test performance in clinical neuropsychological evaluations. The Clinical Neuropsychologist. 2010;24(5):759-778. doi:10.1080/13854041003712951

Carone DA. But the scores don't show how i really function: a feedback method to reveal cognitive distortions regarding normal neuropsychological test performance. Applied Neuropsychology: Adult. 2017;24(2):160-168. doi:10.1080/23279095.2015.1116074

Connery AK, Peterson RL, Baker DA, Kirkwood MW. The impact of pediatric neuropsychological consultation in mild traumatic brain injury: a model for providing feedback after invalid performance. The Clinical Neuropsychologist. 2016;30(4):579-598. doi:10.1080/13854046.2016.1177596

Crosson, B. Application of neuropsychological assessment results. In: Vanderploeg, RD, ed. *Clinician's Guide to Neuropsychological Assessment*. 2nd ed. Psychology Press; 2000:195-244.

Finn SE. In Our Clients' Shoes: Theory and Techniques of Therapeutic Assessment. L. Erblaum; 2007.

Gass CS, Brown MC. Neuropsychological test feedback to patients with brain dysfunction. *Psychological Assessment*. 1992;4(3):272-277. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.4.3.272

Gorske TT, Smith SR. Collaborative Therapeutic Neuropsychological Assessment. Springer; 2009.

Gruters AAA, Christie HL, Ramakers IHGB, Verhey FRJ, Kessels RPC, De Vugt ME. Neuropsychological assessment and diagnostic disclosure at a memory clinic: A qualitative study of the experiences of patients and their family members. The Clinical Neuropsychologist. 2021;35(8):1398-1414. doi:10.1080/13854046.2020.1749936

Hansson A, Hansson L, Danielsson I, Domellöf E. Short- and long-term effects of child neuropsychological assessment with a collaborative and therapeutic approach: a preliminary study. Applied Neuropsychology: Child. 2016;5(2):97-106. doi:10.1080/21622965.2014.996646

Lanca M, Giuliano AJ, Sarapas C, et al. Clinical outcomes and satisfaction following neuropsychological assessment for adults: a community hospital prospective quasi-experimental study. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 2020;35(8):1303-1311. doi:10.1093/arclin/acz059

Longley WA, Tate RL, Brown RF. The psychological benefits of neuropsychological assessment feedback as a psycho-educational therapeutic intervention: A randomized-controlled trial with cross-over in multiple sclerosis. Neuropsychological Rehabilitation. 2023;33(5):764-793. doi:10.1080/09602011.2022.2047734

Miller LJ, Mittenberg W. Brief cognitive behavioral interventions in mild traumatic brain injury. Applied Neuropsychology. 1998;5(4):172-183. doi:10.1207/s15324826an0504_2

Postal K, Armstrong K. Feedback That Sticks: The Art of Communicating Neuropsychological Assessment Results. Oxford University Press; 2013.

Rosado DL, Buehler S, Botbol-Berman E, et al. Neuropsychological feedback services improve quality of life and social adjustment. The Clinical Neuropsychologist. 2018;32(3):422-435. doi:10.1080/13854046.2017.1400105

Tharinger DJ, Finn SE, Hersh B, Wilkinson A, Christopher GB, Tran A. Assessment feedback with parents and preadolescent children: A collaborative approach. Professional Psychology: Research and Practice. 2008;39(6):600-609. doi:10.1037/0735-7028.39.6.600

Varela JL, Sperling SA, Block C, O'Leary K, Hart ES, Kiselica AM. A survey of neuropsychological assessment feedback practices among neuropsychologists. The Clinical Neuropsychologist. Published online July 12, 2023:1-28. doi:10.1080/13854046.2023.2233738

Waldron-Perrine B, Rai JK, Chao D. Therapeutic assessment and the art of feedback: A model for integrating evidence-based assessment and therapy techniques in neurological rehabilitation. Klyce D, Mills A, Dukarm P, eds. NRE. 2021;49(2):293-306. doi:10.3233/NRE-218027

Wynn MJ, Ju CH, Carpenter BD. The relay of neuropsychological information: providing feedback. In: A Handbook of Geriatric Neuropsychology. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2022:445-457. doi:10.4324/9781003100058-29